

1 User Manual for Reinterpretation

The results presented in this analysis¹ can be used for future interpretation of models not considered in this analysis. We recommend the following recipe. Given a specific BSM model, a particular model-independent scheme as described in the analysis should be selected. The fundamental $L_T + p_T^{\text{miss}}$ scheme will be sensitive to BSM models that produce primarily leptons and missing transverse momentum, while the advanced schemes will be more sensitive for models which populate final states with several jets, which may or may not arise from b-quarks. The yield for the model should be obtained in the various categories of the chosen scheme.

To obtain this yield, the acceptance and efficiency for the model is required. In this HepData record, we provide the product of acceptance and efficiency for a few major SM backgrounds (WZ, ZZ, and $t\bar{t}Z$) and all the BSM models probed in this analysis. These are calculated in the inclusive signal regions of all seven multilepton channels separately. The product is defined as the ratio of the total reconstructed yield in a given channel (after all the corrections and scale factor implementation) to the product of luminosity and the production cross section of the given simulation sample.

In addition we also provide reconstruction efficiency maps for the leptons selected in this analysis, so that the yield of a given BSM process can be predicted using generator-level information. Section 1.1 describes the measurement of the lepton reconstruction efficiency maps in detail, and Section 1.2 discusses the procedure to derive the signal yields in our signal regions.

1.1 Detailed description of the efficiency maps

The lepton reconstruction efficiency maps are obtained from a simulation of the ZZ process. For a given input generator-level p_T , the efficiency map provides the probability distribution of the reconstructed p_T , accounting for reconstruction and identification efficiency, and the p_T resolution.

1. Separate maps are produced for electrons, muons, 1-prong τ_h , and 3-prong τ_h . Further, separate maps are produced for light leptons arising from τ decay and from SM gauge bosons W,Z,h decay (equivalently a promptly-decaying signal particle) without intermediate τ decay.
2. For muons, maps are produced in two regions of pseudorapidity: Barrel ($|\eta| \leq 1.2$) and Endcap ($1.2 < |\eta| < 2.4$). For electrons and τ_h , maps are defined in three regions of pseudorapidity: Barrel ($|\eta| \leq 1.1$), Transition ($1.1 < |\eta| \leq 1.6$), and Endcap ($|\eta| > 1.6$), to account for the efficiency losses in the transition between the barrel and endcap regions of ECAL.
3. In addition, the topology of the event plays an important role in the efficiency determination. To account for this, we produce each map in two cases.
 - N_j maps: N_j is the number of generator level jets passing selection criteria outlined in item 4 of Section 1.2. We produce maps in separate N_j regions ($N_j \leq 1$ and $N_j \geq 2$) for each lepton flavor.
 - dRmin maps: We define dRmin as the minimum angular separation (dR) between any pair of selected light leptons at generator level in the event. We produce maps in sepa-

¹<http://arxiv.org/abs/DUMMY>

1.2 Workflow for deriving yield in signal regions

To calculate the signal yield in the various categories of the model-independent schemes, one should proceed as follows:

1. Leptons should be selected at the generator level passing the following criteria: $p_T > 5$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.4$ for electrons and muons, and $p_T > 15$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.3$ for hadronically decaying taus. The leptons must originate from an appropriate source: either the mother is a SM gauge boson (W, Z, h) or a promptly-decaying signal particle, or additionally the mother is a τ lepton in case of electrons and muons. Leptons from any other source should be rejected.
2. The provided efficiency maps should be applied to each generator level lepton, thus giving a predicted p_T for each lepton ($= -1$) in cases where the lepton is predicted to fail reconstruction/identification). Henceforth, only the predicted p_T should be used in subsequent analysis. In the rest of this document, we use p_T to refer to the predicted lepton p_T after application of efficiency map.
3. The number and flavor of the leptons should now be used to determine the channel in which the event falls. In addition the p_T should be used to calculate the L_T . An example of this would be as follows. Suppose at the generator level, an event has two electrons (e_1, e_2), two muons (μ_1, μ_2) and two τ_h (τ_{h1}, τ_{h2}).
 - Case 1: suppose the two electrons and two muons pass and have a $p_T > 0$, and say τ_{h1} does as well. This event is thus predicted to be a $e_1 e_2 \mu_1 \mu_2 \tau_{h1}$ event - and thus should be classified as a 4L event.
 - Case 2: suppose e_1 and μ_2 and both τ_h have $p_T > 0$. This event is thus predicted to be a $e_1 \mu_1 \tau_{h1} \tau_{h2}$ event - and thus should be classified as a 2L2T event.

Thus it may also happen that an event which has sufficient leptons at generator level, does not have enough leptons predicted to pass reconstruction to be selected in the analysis.

4. The p_T^{miss} should be calculated from a 4-vector sum of all neutrinos and other invisible particles specific to the model. The H_T should be calculated from a scalar sum of all generator level jets [jet clustering algorithm implemented at the particle level]. These jets should pass the requirement of $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| \leq 2.4$, with a minimum angular separation of 0.4 from the selected leptons in the event. We recommend no further corrections for the p_T^{miss} and H_T . The number of reconstructed b-tagged jets (N_b) can be estimated by first selecting all generator level jets containing at least one b hadron, and then applying the efficiencies measured by the CMS collaboration³.
5. Any remaining analysis selections can be imposed on the leptons (such as additional p_T or invariant mass selections), and along with using p_T^{miss} and H_T one can thus determine the signal yield in any particular signal region of the analysis.

We find that the procedure described here typically predicts the analysis level yields within 20 to 25% and we recommend a conservative uncertainty of 25% on the yield predicted from this procedure to account for the choices of parameterization for the different lepton flavors and event topologies.

³<https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.07158>